Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,014	FRANSSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell Kallis	1638	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) <u>Russell Kallis</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jay Sanders</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 February 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App.  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.		•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN A proposed 312 Amendment to correct errors in specification		WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance	written summary of the substant of the interverse with the interview, since the interverse the interverse with the interverse substant and the substant in the	nce
		•	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
Phonell (Caller			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	